arXiv:1202.3670v1 [math.HO] 16 Feb 2012

EUCLID'S THEOREM ON THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMES: A HISTORICAL SURVEY OF ITS PROOFS (300 B.C.–2012) AND ANOTHER NEW PROOF

ROMEO MEŠTROVIĆ

Abstract. In this article, we provide a comprehensive historical survey of different proofs of famous Euclid's theorem on the infinitude of prime numbers. The Bibliography of this article contains 99 references consisting of 24 textbooks and monographs, 73 articles (including 20 *Notes* published in *Amer. Math. Monthly* and a few unpublished works that are found on Internet Websites, especially on `http:arxiv.org/`), one *Ph.D. thesis* and *Sloane's On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. The all references concerning to proofs of Euclid's theorem that use similar methods and ideas are exposed subsequently. Moreover, in Appendix we present a list of all 70 different proofs of Euclid's theorem presented here together with the corresponding reference(s), the name(s) of his (their) author(s) and the main method(s) and/or idea(s) used in it (them). This list is arranged by year of publication.

In Section 2, we give a new simple proof of the *infinitude of primes*. The first step of our proof is based on Euclid's idea. The remaining of the proof is quite simple and elementary and it does not use the notion of divisibility.

1. A survey of proofs of Euclid's theorem

This article id dedicated to Euclid because the influence of his *Elements* to the development of mathematics is one the most important topics in the history of mathematics. Euclid's "*Elements*" are one of the most popular and most widely printed mathematicians books and they are been translated into many languages.

A *prime number* (or briefly in the sequel, a *prime*) is an integer greater than 1 that is divisible only by 1 and itself. Mathematicians have been studying primes and their properties for over twenty-three centuries.

Circa 300 B.C., Euclid of Alexandria, from the *Pythagorean School* proved (*Elements*, Book IX, Proposition 20) the following result as rendered into modern language from the Greek ([47], [99]): *If a number be the least that is measured by prime numbers, it will not be measured by any other prime*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A41, 11A51; Secondary 11A07, 11A05, 11B50.

Keywords and phrases: prime, Euclid's theorem, infinitude of primes, Euclid's proof.

number except those originally measuring it. According to G. H. Hardy [41], Euclid's theorem which in fact states that the number of primes is infinite, "is vital for the whole structure of arithmetic. The primes are the raw material out of which we have to build arithmetic, and Euclid's theorem assures us that we have plenty of material for the task." Hardy [41] also remarks that this proof is "as fresh and significant as when it was discovered" so that "two thousand years have not written a wrinkle" on it.

Even after almost two and a half millennia ago it stands as an excellent model of reasoning. Below we follow Ribenboim's statement of Euclid's proof [76, p. 3]. Namely, in Book IX of his celebrated *Elements* (see [47]) we find Proposition 20, which states:

Euclid's theorem. *There are infinitely many prime numbers.*

Elegant proof of Euclid's theorem runs as follows. *Suppose that* $p_1 = 2 < p_2 = 3 < \cdots < p_k$ *are all the primes. Take* $n = p_1p_2\cdots p_k + 1$ *and let* p *be a prime dividing* n. *Then* p *cannot be any of* $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$, *otherwise* p *would divide the difference* $n - p_1p_2\cdots p_k = 1$. □

The above proof is actually quite a bit different from what Euclid wrote. Since ancient Greeks did not have our modern notion of infinity, Euclid could not have written "there are infinitely many primes," rather he wrote: "*prime numbers are more than any assigned multitude of prime numbers.*" Below is a proof closer to that which Euclid wrote, but still using our modern concepts of numbers and proof. An English translation of Euclid's actual proof given by D. Joyce in his webpages [52] which also can be found in `http://primes.utm.edu/notes/proofs/infinite/euclids.html` It is a most elegant proof by *contradiction* that goes as follows.

Euclid's theorem. *There are more primes than found in any finite list of primes.*

Proof. Call the primes in our finite list $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$. *Let* P *be any common multiple of these primes plus one* (*for example* $P = p_1p_2\cdots p_k + 1$). *Now* P *is either prime or it is not. If it is prime, then* P *is a prime that was not in our list. If* P *is not prime, then it is divisible by some prime, call it* p. *Notice* p *cannot be any of* $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$, *otherwise* p *would divide* 1, *which is impossible. So this prime* p *is some prime that was not in our original list. Either way, the original list was incomplete.* □

Remarks. As usually, for each prime p, $p^{\#}$ denotes the product of all the primes less than or equal to p and it is called the *primorial number* (Sloane's sequence A002110; also see A034386 for the second definition of primorial number as a product of primes in the range 2 to n). Further, nth *Euclid number* [91] is defined as a product of first n *consecutive primes* plus one (Sloane's sequence A006862).

The numbers $p^{\#} \pm 1$ (in accordance to the first definition given above) and $n! \pm 1$ have been frequently checked for *primality* (see [11], [40], [84] and [76, pp. 4–5]). The numbers $p^{\#} \pm 1$ have been tested for all $p < 120000$ in 2002 by C. Caldwell and Y. Gallot [11]. They were reported that in the tested range there are exactly 19 primes of the form $p^{\#} + 1$ and 18 primes of the form $p^{\#} - 1$ (these are in fact Sloane's sequences A005234 extended with three new terms and A006794, respectively). It is pointed out in [76, p. 4] that the answers to the following questions are unknown: 1) Are there infinitely many primes p for which $p\# + 1$ is prime? 2) Are there infinitely many primes p for which $p\# + 1$ is composite?

In terms of the second definition of primorial numbers given above, similarly are defined Sloane's sequences A014545 and A057704 (they also called primorial primes).

Other Sloane's sequences related to Euclid's proof and Euclid numbers are: A018239, A057588, A057705 A006794 A002585 A068488, A103514, A066266, A066267, A066268, A066269, A088054, A002981, A002982, A088332, A005235, A000945 and A000946. □

Remarks. Notice that Euclid's proof actually only uses the fact that there is a prime dividing given positive integer greater than 1. This follows from Proposition 31 in Book VII of his *Elements* ([47], [6], [42, p.2, Theorem 1]) which asserts that "*any composite number is measured by some prime number*," or in terms of modern arithmetic, that every integer $n > 1$ has at least one representation as a product of primes. *The unique factorization theorem* does not appear in Euclid's *Elements* ([47]; also see [6]). However, as noticed in [6, page 208], in fact, the unique factorization theorem follows from Propositions 30-31 in Book VII (given in Remarks below).

Nevertheless, as noticed in [6], Euclid played a significant role in the history of this theorem (specifically, this concerns to some propositions of Books VII and IX). We point out that the unique factorization theorem also plays a significant role in numerous proofs of the infinitude of primes provided below. □

To save the space, in the sequel we will often denote by "IP" "the infinitude of primes".

Ever since Euclid of Alexandria, sometimes before 300 B.C., first proved that the number of primes is infinite (see Proposition 20 in Book IX of his legendary *Elements* in [47] (also see [42, p. 4, Theorem 4]) where this result is called *Euclid's second theorem*), mathematicians have amused themselves by coming up with alternate proofs. For more information about the Euclid's proof of the infinitude of primes see e.g., [7], [20], [25], [26], [28, pp. 73–75] and [44]. In Ribenboim's books [75, pp. 3–11], [76, Chapter 1, pp. 3–13], Pollack's book [72, pp. 2–19], Hardy-Wright's books [42, pp. 12–17], [43, pp. 14–18], Aigner and Ziegler's book [2, pp. 3–6] and Narkiewicz's monograph [65, pp. 1–10] can be found many different proofs of Euclid's theorem. Several proofs of this theorem were also explored by P. L. Clarke [17, Ch. 10, pp. 115–121] and T. Yamada [98, Sections 1-6, 10-12]. One of these proof, due to E. E. Kummer in 1878/9 [56] (also see [76, page 4] and [98]) is in fact an elegant variant of Euclid's proof. T. J. Stieltjes' proof of 1890 ([75], [98]), Braun's proof of 1897 ([72, p. 3], [98]), Métrod's proof [76, page 11] of 1917, Mullin's proof of 1964 [64], Trigg's proof in 1974 [90] and Aldaz and Bravo's proof [7] in 2003 present refinements of the above proof. Another proof using *Euclid's method* is given in 2008 by A. Scimone [81]. Quite recently in 2011, applying the *Theory of Finite Abelian Groups*, R. Cooke [18] proved that there are at least $n-1$ primes between the nth prime and the product of the first n primes.

A proof of D. P. Wegener [94] of 1981 based on a study of the sums of the legs of *primitive Pythagorean triples* also contains Euclid's idea (these triples are triples (x, y, z) of positive integers such that $x^2+y^2=z^2$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$; (cf. [17, Ch. 2, pp. 31–34]). It was noticed in [72, p. 4, Exercise 1.2.1] that adapting Euclid's proof of IP, it can be proved that for every integer $m \geq 3$, there exist infinitely many primes p such that $p-1$ is not divisible by m. This result is generalized by A. Granville ([72, p. 4, Exercise 1.2.2], [46, p. 168]) to prove that if H is a proper *subgroup* of $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}^*$, then there exist infinitely many primes p with $p \,(\mathrm{mod}\, m) \notin H$. Another less known proof is based on *Lagrange theorem* on order of subgroup of a finite *group* and *Mersenne number* 2^p-1 with a prime p as follows. Mersenne numbers ([76, pp. 75–87, Ch. VII], [40, pp. 109–110]) $2^n-1, n=1,2,\dots$ and 2^p-1 with p prime form Sloane's sequences A000225 and A001348, respectively; also see related sequences A000668, A000043, A046051 and A028335). Namely, using Lagrange theorem it can be showed that each prime divisor q of 2^p-1 divides $q-1$, and so $p<q$, which implies IP (see [2, p. 3, Second proof], [3, p. 32, Proposition 1.30 and p. 72, Theorem 1.50] or webpage [23]). We also point out an interesting result established as a solution of advanced problem in [3, pp. 110–111, Problem 37 (a)]; namely, this result (given by two solutions) asserts that if a and b are relatively prime positive integers, then in the arithmetic progression $a+nb$, $n=1,2,\dots$, there are infinitely many *pairwise relatively prime* terms, and it yields IP.

C. Goldbach's proof presented in a letter to L. Euler in 1730 (see [76, page 6], [34, pp. 40–41] or [72, p. 4]) is based on the fact that the Fermat numbers $F_n := 2^{2^n}+1$, $n=0,1,2\dots$ are *mutually prime*. Indeed, it is easy to see by induction that $F_m-2=F_0F_1\cdots F_{m-1}$. This shows that if $n<m$, then F_n divides F_m-2. Therefore, any prime dividing both F_m and F_n $(n<m)$ must divide the difference $2=F_m-(F_m-2)$. But this is impossible since F_n is odd, and this shows that Fermat numbers are pairwise relatively prime. Finally, assuming a prime factor of each of integers F_n, we obtain an infinite sequence of prime numbers. F_n is Sloane's sequence A000215; other sequences related

to Fermat numbers are A019434, A094358, A050922, A023394 and A057755 and A080176.

In 1880 J. J. Sylvester (see e.g., [91] and *Wikipedia*) generalized Fermat numbers via a *recursively defined sequence of positive integers* in which each term of the sequence is the product of the previous terms, plus one. This sequence is called *Sylvester's sequence* and it is recursively defined as $a_{n+1} = a_n^2 - a_n + 1$ with $a_0 = 2$ (this is Sloane's sequence A000058) and generalized by Sloane's sequences A001543 and A001544. Clearly, choosing a prime factor of each term of Sylvester's sequence yields IP.

Golbach's idea is later used by many authors to prove Euclid's theorem by construction of an infinite sequence of positive integers $1 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \cdots$ that are pairwise relatively prime (i.e., without a common prime factor). For example, in 1964 A. W. F. Edwards ([29], [76, page 7]) indicated various sequences, defined recursively, having this property (two related sequences are Sloane's sequences A002715 and A002716). This idea is used by Harris' proof in 1956 ([45], [98]) (cf. Sloane's sequence A001685) and in three Mohanty's proofs in 1978 ([62, Theorems 1–3], also see [63], [72, pp. 5–6]). Motivated by the same idea, in 1947 R. Bellman ([9], [76, page 7]) gave a simple "*polynomial method*" to produce infinite sequences with the mentioned property. Further, combining the Euler's idea with the geometrical interpretation of *definite integral* $\int_1^x (1/t)\,dt = \log x$ with $n \le x < n+1$ in [2, p. 4, Fourth proof] it was proved the inequality $\log x \le \pi(x) + 1$, where $\pi(x)$ is the *prime-counting function* defined as the number of primes not exceeding x. This inequality immediately yields IP. The fact that the series of reciprocals of primes diverges is applied in the same book of Aigner and Ziegler [2, pp. 5–6, Sixth proof] to obtain an elegant combinatorial proof of IP using *enumerating arguments.*

Using *Euler's theorem*, it can be proved by induction that the sequence $2^n - 3$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ contains an infinite subsequence whose terms are pairwise relatively prime (the problem on IMO 1971 [27, pp. 392–393, Solution of Problem 10]). S. Srinivasan's proof of IP in 1984 ([88], [98]) uses also a polynomial method that applies *Fermat little theorem.* Schorn's proof of Euclid's theorem given in 1984 [76, page 8] is also in spirit of Goldbach's idea (this is Sloane's sequence A104189). Recently, Goldbach's idea is also applied by some authors. Firstly, notice that IP is indirectly proved by S. W. Golomb in 1963 ([39, the sequence (1)], also see [1, Section 2.5]) which was constructed a *recursive sequence* whose terms are pairwise relatively prime and it present a generalization of Fermat numbers. (cf. Sloane's sequence A000289). Analyzing the prime factors of $a^n - 1$ for given integer $a > 1$ and different integer values $n \ge 1$, in 2004 T. Ishikawa, N. Ishida and Y. Yukimoto [50, Corollary 3] proved that there are infinitely many primes. Further, in 2007, for given $n \ge 2$ M. Gilchrist [37] constructed the so called **–set of positive integers* $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ such that the numbers $f_k = 2^{a_k} + 1$,

$k = 1, 2 \ldots, n$ are mutually prime. Consequently, the set of primes is infinite. In a similar way, using the fact that for any integer $n > 1$, n and $n+1$ are mutually prime, and repeating this to $n(n+1)$ and $n(n+1)+1$ etc., in 2006 F. Saidak [78] proved the infinitude of prime numbers. Similarly, in 2003 M. Somos and R. Haas [85] proved IP using an integer sequence defined recursively whose terms are pairwise relatively prime (cf. Sloane's sequences A064526, A000324 and A007996). Quite recently, J. M. Ash and T. K. Petersen [5, Examples 4a)-4e)] proved IP by presenting similar recursively defined sequences of positive integers. For a construction of some infinite coprime sequences see the paper [57] of N. Lord in 2008.

In 1938 P. Erdős ([42, pp. 16–17], [98]) gave an elementary combinatorial proof of the divergence of the sum of reciprocals of primes, and consequently, the set of all primes is infinite. Using the Chebysheff's argument based on the well known expression for the exponent of prime p dividing the factorial $n!$, a short proof of IP of primes is presented in [43, p. 20] (also see [98]).

Recall also that Euler was derived second proof of Euclid's theorem (published posthumously) by using *Euler's totient function* φ (Sloane's sequence A000010) ([12, pp. 134–135], [72, page 3]). As noticed in [80, page 80] (see also [9]) this proof is also attributed by Kummer. Another proof, based on the divisibility property $n \mid \varphi(a^n - 1)$ ($a, n > 1$ are integers) is given in 1986 by M. Deaconescu and J. Sándor [22] (see also [79]).

Notice that all the above mentioned proofs of Euclid's theorem are elementary. On the other hand, there are certain proofs of Euclid's theorem that are based on ideas from Analytic Number Theory. A more sophisticated proof of Euclid's theorem was given many centuries later by the Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler. In 1737 Euler showed that by adding the reciprocals of successive prime numbers you can attain a sum greater than any prescribed number; that is, in terms of modern *Analysis*, the sum of the reciprocals of all the primes is *divergent.* (see [76, page 8], [34, pp. 8–9] or the work of Euler [33]). Briefly, Euler considered the possibly infinite product $\prod 1/(1 - p^{-1})$, where the index p runs over all primes. He expanded the product to obtain the divergent infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$, conclude the infinite product was also divergent, and from this conclude that the infinite series $\sum 1/p$ also diverges. It is interesting to notice that in actual reality, Euler never presented his work as a proof of Euclid's theorem, though that conclusion is clearly implicit in what he did.

Euler's proof of IP amounts to unique factorization, and it is also discussed at length by R. Honsberger in his book [49, Essay 18] and modified in 2003 by C. W. Neville [66, Theorem 1(a)]. Another modification of Euler's proof, involving the *logarithmic complex function*, is given in book [21, p. 35] of R. Crandall and C. Pomerance. Furthermore, in 2007 J. Sondow [86] noticed that IP follows immediately from the *Euler's product* for the *Riemann zeta function* $\zeta(s)$ [42, p. 246, Theorem 280] together with the well known *Euler's* *formula* [42, p. 245] $\zeta(2) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2 = \pi^2/6$ (Sloane's sequence A013661) and the *irrationality of* π^2 [42, p. 47, Theorem 49]. Namely, if there were only finitely many primes, then $\zeta(2)$ would be rational, a contradiction. In 2008 S. J. Miller [60, p. 740] noticed that IP also follows from *Gregory-Leibniz formula* for π and the irrationality of π [42, p. 47, Theorem 49], as well as from the Euler's product for the Riemann zeta function, the *Euler's formula* $\zeta(4) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^4 = \pi^4/90$ [42, p. 245] (Sloane's sequence A0013662) and the irrationality of π^4, respectively. Notice also that IP follows from *Wallis's formula* for π obtained in 1665 ([92], [87, the eq. (1)]) and the irrationality of π. Similarly, we observe that IP is an immediate consequence of *Pippenger's product* for e obtained in 1980 ([71], [87, eq. (5)]) and the *transcendentality of* e [42, p. 172, Theorem 204]. Using the analogous arguments, IP also follows from *Wallis-type formulas* obtained by J. Sondow and H. Yi in 2010 [87, Theorem 1, eqs. (6)–(12)].

Perott's proof from 1881 ([69], [76, page 10]) is based on the fact that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1/n^2)$ is *convergent* with the sum smaller than 2. This proof is generalized in 2006 by L. J. P. Kilford [53] using the fact that for any given $k \geq 2$, the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1/n^k)$ converges to a real number which is strictly between 1 and 2.

Using the *Theory of periodic continued fractions* (cf. related Sloane's sequence A003285) and the *Theory of Pellian equations*, in 1976 C. W. Barnes [9] published a new proof of IP.

The first proof of IP which is combinatorial in spirit was given by Thue in late 1897 ([89], [76, page 9]). This proof uses a "*counting method*" and the *fundamental theorem of unique factorization* of positive integers as a product of prime numbers as follows. Choose integers $n, k \geq 1$ such that $(n+1)^k < 2^n$ and set $m = 2^{e_1} \cdot 3^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, where we assume that $2 < 3 < \cdots < p_r$ is a set of all the primes and $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$. Suppose that $r \leq k$. Since $m \leq 2^n$, we have $0 \leq e_i \leq n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Then counting all the possibilities, it follows that $2^n \leq (n+1)n^{r-1} < (n+1)^r \leq (n+1)^k < 2^n$. This contradiction yields $r \geq k+1$. Now taking $n = 2k^2$, then since $1 + 2k^2 < 2^{2k}$ for each $k \geq 1$, it follows that $(1+2k^2)^k \leq 2^{2k^2} = 4^{k^2}$, and so there at least $k+1$ primes p such that $p < 4^{k^2}$. Thus, letting $k \to \infty$ yields IP.

In order to prove IP, similar *enumerating arguments* to those of Thue were used in a simple Auric's proof, which appeared in 1915 [76, page 11], as well by P. R. Chernoff in 1965 [16], M. Rubinstein [77] in 1993 and M. D. Hirschorn [48] in 2002. A proof of IP similar to Auric's proof is given in 2010 by M. Coons [19]. Using a combinatorial argument, the unique factorization theorem and the *pigeonhole principle*, IP is quite recently proved by D. G. Mixon [61]. Another counting proof based on the unique factorization was established in late 1893 by C. O. Boije af Gennäs ([10], [25, p. 414], [98]).

Washington's proof of Euclid's theorem from 1980 ([93], [76, pp. 11–12]) is via *commutative algebra*, applying elementary facts of the *Theory of* *principal ideal domains*, *unique factorization domains*, *Dedekind domains* and *algebraic numbers*. These algebraic arguments for this proof are well studied and exposed in 2001 by B. Chastek [16].

A proof of Euclid's theorem due to H. Fürstenberg in 1955 ([35]; also see [76, pp. 12–13], [72, p. 12] or [2, p. 5]) is a short ingenious proof based on *topological ideas*. In order to achieved a contradiction, Fürstenberg introduced a *topology* on the set of all integers, namely the smallest topology in which any set of all terms of a nonconstant *arithmetic progression* is *open*.

In 1959 S. W. Golomb [38] developed further the idea of Fürstenberg and gave another prooof of Euclid's theorem. In 2003 D. Cass and G. Wildenberg [13] (also cf. [54]) have shown that Fürstenberg's proof can be reformulated in the language of *periodic functions on integers*, without reference to topology. This is in fact, a beautiful combinatorial version of Fürstenberg's proof. Studying arithmetic properties of the multiplicative structure of *commutative rings* and related topologies, in 2001 S. Porubsky [74] established new variants of Fürstenberg's topological proof. Notice also that Fürstenberg's proof of IP is well analyzed in 2009 by A. Arana [4], discussed in greater detail in 2011 by M. Detlefsen and A. Arana [24]. Furthermore, C. W. Neville [66, Theorem 1(a)] pointed out that this proof has been extended in various directions, for example to the setting of *Abstract Ideal Theory* (see [55] and [74]).

More than 50 years later, in 2009 using Fürstenberg's ideas but rephrased without topological language, I. D. Mercer [58] provided a new short proof that the number of primes is infinite.

Recently, in 2009 J. P. Pinasco [70] gave yet another proof of Euclid's theorem that is based on a formula for number of integers in the interval $[1, x]$ that are divisible by one of primes in a finite set of them ($x > 1$ is a real number) which is derived by the author via the *Inclusion-Exclusion Principle*. In 2010 J. P. Whang [95] gave a short proof of IP by using *de Polignac's formula* (actually due to Legendre). Another less known elementary result of P. Erdős [31, p. 283] (also see [30]) in late 1934, also based on Polignac's formula, asserts that there a prime between $\sqrt{n}$ and n for each positive integer $n > 2$. Notice also that IP follows by two results of W. Sierpiński in his monograph in 1964 [82]. Namely, if we suppose that there are a total of k primes, then by [82, page 132–133, Lemmas 1 and 4], we have $4^n/2\sqrt{n} < \binom{2n}{n} \leq (2n)^k$ for each positive integer $n > 1$. This contradicts the fact that $4^n/2\sqrt{n} \geq (2n)^k$ for sufficiently large n.

In 2011 P. Pollack proved IP [73] applying the *Möbius transform of an arithmetic function*. B. Joyal [51] proved IP using the *sieve of Eratosthenes*. In his book [14] (also see [17, page 118, Section 10.1.5]), in 2005 the computer scientist G. Chaitin gave a very short *"algorithmic information theory"* proof of IP. In 1988 J. B. Paris, A. J. Wilkie and A. R. Woods ([68]; also see [67]) showed that a considerable part of elementary number theory, including IP, is provable in *a weak system of arithmetic* $I\Delta_0$ with the *weak pigeonhole principle* for Δ_0-*definable functions* added as an axiom scheme. IP is in 1981 proved by A. R. Woods [97] by adding $PHP\Delta_0$ to $I\Delta_0$, where $PHP\Delta_0$ stands for the pigeonhole principle formulated for functions defined by Δ_0-formulas. It is a longstanding open question [96] whether or not one can dispense with the weak pigeonhole principle, by proving the existence of infinitely many primes within $I\Delta_0$.

Finally, quite recently, using a *representation of a rational number in a positive integer base*, in [59] the author of this article obtained an elementary proof of IP. The second author's proof of IP is given in the next section.

2. Another simple proof of Euclid's theorem

Proof of Euclid's theorem. Suppose that $p_1 = 2 < p_2 = 3 < \cdots < p_k$ are all the primes. Take $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$ and let p be a prime dividing n.

The first step is a "shifted" first step of Euclid's proof. Suppose that $p_1 = 2 < p_2 = 3 < \cdots < p_k$ are all the primes. Take $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$. Then $n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} (\geq 5)$ for some k-tuple of nonnegative integers $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$, and so taking $s = \max\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$, we find that

$$n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} = \frac{p_1^s p_2^s \cdots p_k^s}{p_1^{s-e_1} p_2^{s-e_2} \cdots p_k^{s-e_k}} = \frac{n^s}{a},$$

where $a = p_1^{s-e_1} p_2^{s-e_2} \cdots p_k^{s-e_k}$ and s are positive integers. The above equality yields

$$a = \frac{n^s}{n-1} = \frac{(n^s - 1) + 1}{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n^i + \frac{1}{n-1},$$

whence it follows that $1/(n-1) = a - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n^i$ is a positive integer. This contradicts the fact that $n - 1 \geq 4$, and the proof is completed. $\square$

Remarks. Unlike most other proofs of the Euclid's theorem, Euclid's proof and our proof does not require Proposition 30 in Book VII of *Elements* (see [99], [42], where this result is called *Euclid's first theorem*; sometimes called "*Euclid's Lemma*") that states into modern language from the Greek [47]: *that if two numbers, multiplied by one another make some number, and any prime number measures the product, then it also measures one of the original numbers*, or in terms of modern *Arithmetic*: if p is a prime such that $p \mid ab$ then either $p \mid m$ or $p|b$. It was also pointed in [42, page 10, Notes on Chapter 1] that this result does not seem to have been stated explicitly before Gauss [36] of 1801. The only divisibility property used in our proof and Euclid's proof is the fact that every integer $n > 1$ has at least one representation as a product of primes. This is in fact, Proposition 31 in Book VII of *Elements* (see above Remarks).

In order to achieved a contradiction, in the second step of his proof Euclid take a prime that divides a product P of all the primes plus one, and further consider two cases in dependence on whether P is prime or not. But in the second step of our proof we obtain directly a contradiction dividing n^s by $n-1$. □

References

[1] A. V. Aho and N. J. A. Sloane, Some doubly exponential sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **11** (1973), 429–437.

[2] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from The Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Second edition, 2001.

[3] T. Andrescu, D. Andrica and Z. Feng, 104 *Number Theory Problems From the Training of the USA IMO Team*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.

[4] A. Arana, On Formally Measuring and Eliminating Extraneous Notions in Proofs, *Philosophia Mathematica* **17**(2) (2009), 189–207.

[5] J. M. Ash and T. K. Petersen, Many proofs that the primes are infinite, to appear in *J. Recreational Math.*

[6] A. G. Ağargün and E. M. Özkan, A historical survey of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, *Historia Mathematica* **28** (2001), 207–214.

[7] J. M. Aldaz and A. Bravo, Euclid's Argument on the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003), 141–142.

[8] C. W. Barnes, The infinitude of primes; a proof using continued fractions, *L'Enseignement Math.* (2) **22** (1976), 313–316.

[9] R. Bellman, A note on relatively prime sequences, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1947), 778–779.

[10] C. O. Boije af Gennäs, *Ofversigt K. Sv. Vetenskaps-Akad. Förhand.*, Stockholm **50** (1893), 469–471.

[11] C. Caldwell and Y. Gallot, On the primality of $n!\pm 1$ and $2\times 3\times 5\times\cdots\times p\pm 1$ *Math. Comp.* **71** (2002), 441–448.

[12] D. M. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory*, Sixt edition, McGraw–Hill, 2007.

[13] D. Cass and G. Wildenberg, Math Bite: A Novel Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, Revisted, *Math. Magazine* **76** (2003), p. 203.

[14] G. Chaitin, *Meta Math!: The Quest for Omega*, Vintage Books, New York, 2005.

[15] B. Chastek, Two proofs of the infinitude of primes, *REU summer* 2001 *by Professor Garrett*, 2001, available at http://www.math.umn.edu/ garrett/students/reu/benChastek.pdf.

[16] P. R. Chernoff, A "Lattice Point" Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, *Math. Magazine* **38** (1965), p. 208.

[17] P. L. Clarke, *Introduction to Number Theory* (notes from an under/graduate number theory course taught at UGA in 2007 and 2009), 2009, available at `http://math.uga.edu/∼pete/4400FULL.pdf`.

[18] R. Cooke, A Remark on Euclid's Theorem on the Infinitude of the Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **118** (2011), 355–358.

[19] M. Coons, Yet another proof of the infinitude of primes, I, `https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/ mcons/CoonsInfPrimes1.pdf`, 2010.

[20] J. B. Cosgrave, A Remark on Euclid's Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **96** (1989), 339–341.

[21] R. Crandall and C. Pomerance, *Prime numbers: a computational perspective*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[22] M. Deaconescu and J. Sándor, A divisibility property (Romanian), *Gazeta Mat.*, Bucureşti, **XCI** (1986), No. 2, 48–49.

[23] "Dedekind Paradise", Infinitude of primes via Lagrange's theorem and Mersenne numbers, `http://dedekindsparadise.wordpress.com/`, 2011.

[24] M. Detlefsen and A. Arana [24], Purity of Methods, *Philosophers' Imprint* **11** (2011), No. 2, 1–20.

[25] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, vol. I. *Divisibility and Primality*, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1919.

[26] K. Dilcher, Remark on Euclid's Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **96** (1989), 239–241.

[27] D. Djukić, V. Janković, I. Matić and N. Petrović, *The IMO compendium: a Collection of Problems Suggested for the International Mathemacical Olympiads: 1959–2009*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.

[28] W. Dunham, *Great Theorem: The infinitude of Primes, pp.* 73–75 *Journey through Genius: The Great Theorems of Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1990.

[29] A. W. F. Edwards, Infinite coprime sequences, *Math. Gazette* **48** (1964), 416–422.

[30] P. Erdős, Egy Kürschák-féle elemi számelméleti tétel általánosítása (in Hungarian; translation in English: Generalization of an elementary number-theoretic theorem of Kürschák), *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* **39** (1932), 17–24.

[31] P. Erdős, A theorem of Sylvester and Schur, *J. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1934), 282–288.

[32] Euclid of Alexandria, *Elements*, circa 300 B.C..

[33] L. Euler, Variae observationes circa series infinitas, *Comment. Acad. Sci. Petropol* **9** (1744), 160–188 [in *Opera omnia* **I.14**, Teubner 1924, 108–123].

[34] G. Everest and T. Ward, *An Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[35] H. Fürstenberg, On the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **62** (1955), p. 353.

[36] C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, Fleischer, Leipzig, 1801 (translated into English by A. C. Clarke, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1966.)

[37] M. Gilchrist, A Proof that There Are an Infinite Number of Rational Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **114** (2007), p. 622.

[38] S. W. Golomb, A connected topology for the integers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **66** (1959), 663–665.

[39] S. W. Golomb, On certain nonlinear recurring sequences, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963), 403–405.

[40] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.

[41] G. H. Hardy, *A Mathematician's Apology*, Cambridge University Press, 1940.

[42] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 1959.

[43] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. Silverman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Sixt edition, Oxford University Press, 2008.

[44] M. Hardy and C. Woodgold, Prime simplicity, *Math. Intelligencer* **31** (2009), 44–52.

[45] V. C. Harris, Another proof of the infinitude of primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **63** (1956), p. 711.

[46] H. Hasse, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wisseschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksightigung der Anwendungsgebiete, Band LIX, Springer-Verlag, 1950.

[47] T. L. Heath, *The Thirteen Books of Euclid's Elements*, vol. 2, University Press, Cambridge, 1908; 2nd ed. reprinted by Dover, New York, 1956.

[48] M. D. Hirschorn, There are infinitely many of prime numbers, *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.* **29**, No. 2 (2002), 103.

[49] R. Honsberger, *Ingenuity in Mathematics*, MAA, New Mathematical Library, 1970.

[50] T. Ishikawa, N. Ishida and Y. Yukimoto, On Prime Factors of $A^n - 1$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **111** (2004), 243–245.

[51] B. Joyal, On a proof of the infinitude of primes using using the sieve of Eratosthenes, `http:mymathforum.com/viewtopic.php?t =53668p=20073`, 2008.

[52] D. Joyce `http://aleph0.clarku.edu/∼djoyce/java/elements/bookIX.html`. `IX20.html`.

[53] L. J. P. Kilford, An infinitude of proofs of the infinitude of primes, `arXiv:math/06100662v2 [math.NT]`, 2006.

[54] M. Klazar, On Fürstenberg's topological proof of the infinitude of primes, `http://kam.mff.cuni.cz/˜klazar/furst_topo.pdf`, 2010.

[55] J. Knopfmacher and S. Porubsky, Topologies related to arithmetical properties of integral domains, *Expositiones Math.* **15** (2) (1997), 131–148.

[56] E. E. Kummer, Neuer elementarer Beweis des Satzes, dass die Anzahl aller Primzahlen eine unendliche ist, *Monatsber. Akad. d. Wiss.,* Berlin 1878/9, 777–778.

[57] N. Lord, A uniform construction of some infinite coprime sequences, *Math. Gazette* **92** (2008), No. 523, 66–70.

[58] I. D. Mercer, On Fürstenberg's Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116** (2009), 355–356.

[59] R. Meštrović, An elementary proof of the infinitude of primes, file "euclid4.pdf" on *Preprints*, `http://sites.google.com/site/romeomestrovic`, 2012.

[60] S. J. Miller, A probabilistic proof of Wallis's formula for π, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **115** (2008), 740–745.

[61] D. G. Mixon, Another simple proof of the infinitude of primes, to appear in *Amer. Math. Monthly*, page 1, available at http://www.math.princeton.edu/ dmixon/mixon_primes_20120120.pdf.

[62] S. P. Mohanty, The number of primes is infinite, *Fibonacci Quart.* **16** (1978), 381–384.

[63] S. P. Mohanty, The number of primes is infinite, *Bull. Math. Assoc. India* **11** (1979), 62–68.

[64] A. A. Mullin, On a new proof of the infinitude of the primes, *Trans. Illinois State Acad. Sci* **57** (1964), 116–117.

[65] W. Narkiewicz, *The Development of Prime Number Theory: from Euclid to Hardy and Littlewood*, Springer Monographs in Mathematicas, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[66] C. W. Neville, New results on primes from an old proof of Euler, `arXiv:math/0210282v2` [math.NT], 2003.

[67] J. B. Paris and A. J. Wilkie, Counting Problems in Bounded Arithmetic, *Methods in Mathematical Logic, Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1130** (1985), 317–340.

[68] J. B. Paris, A. J. Wilkie and A. R. Woods, Provability of the pigeonhole principle and the existence of infinitely many primes, *J. Symbolic Logic* **53** (1988), 1235–1244.

[69] J. Perott, Sur l'infinité de la suite des nombres premiers, *Bulletin des sciences mathématiques et astronomiques* **5**(I) (1881), 183–184.

[70] J. P. Pinasco, New Proofs of Euclid's Theorem and Euler's Theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116** (2009), 172–173.

[71] N. Pippenger, An infinite product for e, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **87** (1980), p. 391.

[72] P. Pollack, *Not Always Burried Deep: Selections from Analytic and Combinatorial Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2009.

[73] P. Pollack, The Möbius transform and the infinitude of primes, *Elem. Math.* **66** (2011), No. 3, 118–120.

[74] S. Porubsky, Arithmetically related ideal topologies and the infinitude of primes, *Quaestiones Math.* **24** (2001), 373–391.

[75] P. Ribenboim, *The new book of prime number records*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[76] P. Ribenboim, *The little book of bigger primes*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[77] M. Rubinstein, A Formula and Proof of the Infinitude of Primes, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **100** (1993), 388–392.

[78] F. Saidak, A New Proof of Euclid's theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **113** (2006), 937–938.

[79] J. Sándor, Über die Folge der Primzahlen, *Mathematica, Cluj* **30** (53) (1988), No. 1, 67–74.

[80] J. Sándor and B. Crstici, *Handbook of Number Theory* II , Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.

[81] A. Scimone, A short and elementary proof of the infinitude of primes, *Teacing Mathematics and its ApplicationsMat* **27** (53) (2008), No. 4, 218–219.

[82] W. Sierpiński, *Elementary Theory of Numbers*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 42, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1964.

[83] N. J. A. Sloane, *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at `www.research.att.com/ njas/sequences/`.

[84] N. J. A. Sloane and S. Plouffle, *The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, Academic Press, 1995.

[85] M. Somos and R. Haas, A Linked Pair of Sequences Implies the Primes Are Infinite, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003), p. 539.

[86] J. Sondow, Primes, π, and Irrationality Measure, `http://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/0710/0710.1862.pdf`, 2007.

[87] J. Sondow and H. Yi, New Wallis- and Catalan- Type Infinite Products for π, e and $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **117** (2010), 912–917.

[88] S. Srinivasan, On infinitude of primes, *Hardy–Ramanujan J.* **7** (1984), 21–26.

[89] A. Thue, Mindre meddelelser II. Et bevis for at primtalleness antal er unendeligt, Arch. f. Math. og Naturv., Kristiania, **19**, No. 4, 1897, 3–5. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers* (edited by T. Nagell, A. Selberg and S. Selberg), 31–32. Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977.

[90] C. W. Trigg, The Infinitude of Primes, *Math. Magazine* **47** (1974), p. 162.

[91] I. Vardi, Are All Euclid Numbers Squarefree? In *Computational Recreations in Mathematica*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, Redword City, CA, 82–89, 1991.

[92] J. Wallis, Computation of π by successive interpolations, in *A Source Book in Mathematics*, 1200–1800, D. J. Struik, ed., 2nd ed., L. Berggren, J. Borwein and P. Borwein, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000, pp. 68–77.

[93] L. C. Washington, The infinitude of primes via commutative algebras, unpublished manuscript, 1980 (cf. [76, pp. 11–12]).

[94] D. P. Wegener, Primitive Pythagorean triples and the infinitude of primes, *Fibonacci Quart.* **19** (1981), 449–450.

[95] J. P. Whang, Another Proof of the Infinitude of the Prime Numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **117** (2010), p. 181.

[96] A. J. Wilkie, Some results and problems on weak systems of arithmetic. In A. Macintyre, L. Pacholski and J. Paris, editors, *Logic Colloquium '77*, 237–248. North-Holland, 1978.

[97] A. R. Woods, *Some problems in Logic and Number theory*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Mancheseter, 1981.

[98] T. Yamada, *Proofs of the infinitude* of primes, `http://www.pmath.kyoto-u.ac.jp/∼tyamada/files/infprime.pdf`.

[99] S. Zhang, Euclid's number-theoretical work, `arXiv:0902.2465v2 [math.GM]`, 2010.

APPENDIX

A) External Links on Euclid's theorem and its proofs

Wikipedia `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euclid's_theorem`
`http://mathworld.wolfram.com/EuclidsTheorems.html`, from MathWorld.
`http://primes.utm.edu/notes/proofs/infinite/euclids.html`
`http://mathforum.org/`
`http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html`
`http://planetmath.org/encyclopedia/`
`http://mathoverflow.net`
`http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/primenumber/`

B) Sloane's sequences related to proofs of Euclid's theorem

A000040, A002110, A034386, A006862, A005234, A006794, A014545, A057704, A018239, A057588, A057705 A006794, A002584, A002585, A051342, A068488, A103514, AO66266, A066267, A066268, A066269, A088054, A002981, A002982, A007917, A007918, A088332, A005235, A000945, A000946, A005265, A005266, A0084598, A0084599, A005266; A000215, A019434, A094358, A050922, A023394, A057755, A080176, A002715; A000668, A001348, A000225, A000043, A046051, A028335; A002716; A104189; A001685; A064526, A000324, A007996; A000289; A000058, A001543, A001544, A126263; A005267; A0013661, A0013662; A003285; A000010; A000984
in "*The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences.*" (published electronically at `www.research.att.com/ njas/sequences/`).

C) List of papers and their authors arranged by year of publication with the main argument(s) of related proof given into round brackets

For brevity, into round brackets after a reference in the following list we denote the method(s) and/or idea(s) that are used in related proof by:

C–a combinatorial method;

CM–a counting method, based on some combinatorial enumerating arguments;

CS-an idea based on a convergence of sums $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1/n^s)$ with $s > 1$ etc;

DS–Euler idea, that is an idea based on a divergence of reciprocals of primes and related series;

E–Euclid's idea of the proof of the infinitude of primes, that is, a consideration of product $P := p_1p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$ or some analogous product;

FT–a factorization (not necessarily to be unique) of a positive integer as a product of prime powers;

MPI–the idea based on a construction of sequences consisting of mutually prime positive integers;

T–a topological method;

UFT–the unique factorization theorem of a positive integer as a product of prime powers.

[47, 300 B.C.], [42, p. 4, Theorem 4], Euclid of Alexandria (E)

[76, 1730, p. 6], [34, pp. 40–41], [72, p. 4] C. Goldbach, (MPI, especially, Fermat numbers $F_n := 2^{2^n} + 1$)

[76, 1737, p. 8], [34, pp. 8–9], [33] L. Euler (UFT, DS; especially, the series of the reciprocals of the primes is divergent)

[12, 17??, pp. 134–135], [72, p. 3] L. Euler (multiplicativity of Euler's totient function φ)

[56, 1878/9], [76, p. 4], [98] E. E. Kummer (E)

[69, 1881], [98] J. Perott (CS and CM)

[75, 1890], [98] T. J. Stieltjes (E)

[10, 1893], [25, p. 414], [98] C. O. Boije af Gennäs (FT, C)

[72, 1897, p. 3], [98] J. Braun (E)

[89, 1897], [76, p. 9] A. Thue (CM and UFT)

[76, 1915, p. 11] A. Auric (CM and FT)

[76, 1917, p. 11] G. Métrod (E)

[31, 1934, p.283], [30] P. Erdős (C and de de Polignac's formula)

[42, 1938, pp. 16–17], [98] P. Erdős (UFT, C, CM and DS)

[9, 1947], [76, p. 7] R. Bellman (MPI and a polynomial method)

[35, 1955], [76, pp. 12–13], [72, p. 12], [2, p. 5] H. Fürstenberg (T)

[45, 1956], [98] V. C. Harris (MPI)

[38, 1959] S. W. Golomb (T)

[39, 1963] S. W. Golomb (MPI)

[64, 1964] A. A. Mullin's (E)

[29, 1964], [76, p. 7] A. F. W. Edwards (MPI)

[82, 1964, pp. 132–133] W. Sierpiński (inequality for central binomial coefficient, mathematical induction, UFT, de Polignac's formula)

[16, 1965] P. R. Chernoff (CM and UFT)

[27, 1971] Problem 3 on IMO 1971 (FT and Euler's theorem)

[90, 1974] C. W. Trigg (E)

[9, 1976] C. W. Barnes (Theory of periodic continued fractions and Theory of Pellian equations)

[62, 1978, Theorem 1], [63], [72, pp. 5–6] S. P. Mohanty's (MPI)

[62, 1978, Theorem 2], (MPI and Fermat little theorem)

[62, 1978, Theorem 3], (MPI and Fibonacci numbers)

[93, 1980], [76, pp. 11–12], [16] L. Washington's (commutative algebra, Theory of principal ideal domains and algebraic numbers)

[71, 1980], [87, eq. (5)] N. Pippenger (Pippenger's formula and the transcendentality of e)

[94, 1981] D. P. Wegener (E and primitive Pythagorean triples)

[97, 1981] A. R. Woods (weak system of arithmetic $I\Delta_0$, Δ_0-definable functions, the pigeonhole principle $PHP\Delta_0$ formulated for functions defined by Δ_0-formulas)

[76, 1884, p. 8] P. Schorn's (MPI)

[88, 1984], [98] S. Srinivasan (MPI, a polynomial method and Fermat little theorem)

[22, 1986], [79] M. Deaconescu and J. Sándor (divisibility property $n \mid \varphi(a^n - 1)$, $a, n > 1$)

[68, 1988], [67] J. B. Paris, A. J. Wilkie and A. R. Woods (weak system of arithmetic $I\Delta_0$, weak pigeonhole principle, Δ_0-definable functions)

[77, 1993] M. Rubinstein (CM and UFT)

[2, 2001, p. 4] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler (CM, definite integral of the function $1/t$, DS, UFT)

[2, 2001, pp. 5–6] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, (DS, C, CM UFT)

[2, 2001, p. 3], [3, p. 72], [23] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler, [3, pages 32 and 72] (Lagrange's theorem of Group Theory and Mersenne numbers)

[74, 2001] S. Porubsky (T and Theory of commutative rings)

[48, 2002] M. D. Hirschorn (CM and FT)

[7, 2003] J. M. Aldaz and A. Bravo (E and MPI)

[85, 2003] M. Somos and R. Haas (MPI)

[13, 2003], [54] D. Cass and G. Wildenberg (C, periodic functions on integers)

[66, 2003, p. 2] C. W. Neville (DS)

[50, 2004] T. Ishikawa, N. Ishida and Y. Yukimoto (MPI)

[13, 2005, p. 35] R. Crandall and C. Pomerance (DS)

[14, 2005], [17, p. 118, Section 10.1.5] G. Chaitin (algorithmic information theory)

[78, 2006] F. Saidak (MPI)

[53, 2006] L. J. P. Kilford (CS)

[37, 2007] M. Gilchrist (MPI)

[3, 2007, pp. 110–111] (first proof via induction; the second proof due by Sherry Gong via induction using Euler's theorem)

[86, 2007] J. Sondow (Euler's formula for the Riemann zeta function, $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ and the irrationality of π^2)

[60, 2008] S. J. Miller (Gregory-Leibniz formula, the formula $\zeta(4) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^4 = \pi^4/90$ and the irrationality of π and π^4, respectively)

[81, 2008] A. Scimone (E)

[51, 2008] B. Joyal, (sieve of Eratosthenes)

[72, 2009, p. 4]) A. Granville (E and Group Theory)

[70, 2009] J. P. Pinasco (Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, CM and DS)

[58, 2009] I. D. Mercer (C)

[95, 2010] J. P. Whang (de Polignac's formula)c

[87, 2010, eqs. (5)–(12)] J. Sondow and H. Yi, (Wallis's type formulas and irrationality of related numbers)

[19, 2010] M. Coons (UFT, CM)

[18, 2011] R. Cooke (Theory of finite Abelian groups)

[73, 2011] P. Pollack (the Möbius transform)

[5, 2011] J. M. Ash and T. K. Petersen (MPI and FT)

[61, 2011] D. G. Mixon (C, UFT and the pigeonhole principle)

[59, 2012] R. Meštrović (FT and representation of a rational number in a positive integer base)

[this article, 2012] R. Meštrović (E, FT and the formula $(n^s - 1) = (n - 1)(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} n^i)$)

Subject Index

Abelian groups, 4; Abstract Ideal Theory, 8; *Aho, A. V.*, 5; *Aigner, M.*, $3, 3, 4, 5, 8$ *Aldaz, J. M.*, 3, 4; algebraic argument, 8; algebraic number, 8; "algorithmic information theory", 8; alternate proof, 3; Analysis, 6; Analytic Number Theory, 6; *Andrica, D.*, 4; *Andrescu, T.*, 4; *Arana, A.*, 8, 8; Arithmetic, 1, 3, 9; arithmetic function, 8; arithmetic progression, 4, 8; arithmetic property, 8; *Ash, J. M.*, 6; *Ağargün, A. G.*, 3, 3, 3; *Auric, A.*, 7, 7; axiom scheme, 9.

B*arnes, C. W.*, 7; *Bellman, R.*, 5, 6; *Boije, C. O af Gennäs.*, 7; Book VII (of Elements), 2, 3, 3, 3, 9, 9; Book IX (of Elements), 1, 2, 3; *Braun, J.*, 4; *Bravo, A.*, 3, 4; *Burton, D. M.*, 6.

C*aldwell, C.*, 2; *Cass, D.*, 8; central binomial coefficient, 15; *Chaitin, G.*, 8; *Chastek, B.*, 8; *Chebysheff's* argument, 6; *Chernoff, P. R.*, 7; *Clarke, P. L.*, 4, 4, 8; commutative algebra, 7; consecutive primes, 2; counting method, 7; combinatorial argument, 7; combinatorial method, 14; combinatorial proof, 5, 7 combinatorial version, 8;; continued fraction, 7; common multiple, 2; common prime factor, 5; commutative rings, 8; composite, 3; composite number, 3; contradiction, 7, 7, 8, 9, 10; convergence, 14; convergent series, 7; *Cooke, R.*, 4; *Coons, M.*, 7; *Cosgrave, J. B.*, 3; *Crandall, R.*, 6. *Crstici, B.*, 6.

De *Polignac's* formula, 8, 8; *Deaconescu, M.*, 6; *Dedekind* domains, 7; "*Dedekind* Paradise" (`http`), 4; Δ_0-definable functions, 9; definite integral, 5; *Detlefsen M.*, 8; *Dickson, L. E.*, 3, 7; difference, 2, 4; *Dilcher, K.,* 3; divergence of the sum, 6; divergent, 6; divergent infinite series, 6; divergent sum, 6; dividing, 9; divisibility, 1; divisibility property, 6, 9; divisible, 1, 2, 4, 8; *Djukić, D.*, 5; *Dunham, W.*, 3.

E*dwards, A. W. F.*, 5; elementary combinatorial proof, 6; Elementary number theory, 8; elementary proof, 6, 9; "Elements", 1, 2, 3, 3, 9; enumerating arguments, 5, 7; equality, 9; *Erdős, P.*, 6, 8, 8; *Euclid* (of Alexandria), 1, 2, 3, 9; *Euclid's* number, 2; *Euclid's* first theorem, 9; *Euclid's* idea, 4; *Euclid's* Lemma, 9; *Euclid's* method, 4; *Euclid's proof*, 2, 3, 4, 4, 9, 9; *Euclid's* second theorem, 3; *Euclid's* theorem, 1–9. *Euler, L.*, 4, 6, 6, 6, 6; *Euler's* idea, 5; *Euler's* formula for π^2, 6; *Euler's* fomula for π^4, 7; *Euler's* formula for $\zeta(2)$, 6; *Euler's* formula for $\zeta(4)$, 7; *Euler's* product, 6; *Euler's* product for the Riemann zeta function, 6, 7; *Euler's* proof, 6; *Euler's* theorem, 5; *Euler's* totient function (φ), 6. exponent of prime, 6; *Everest, G.*, 4, 6.

Factor, 4, 5; factorial, 6; *Feng, F.*, 4; *Fermat* numbers, 4, 4, 4, 5, ; *Fermat* little theorem, 5; *Fibonacci* numbers, 15; finite, 2, 8; finite set, 8; finite *Abelian* groups, 4; finite group, 4; finite set, 8; finitely many primes, 7; function, 5, 9; functions defined by Δ_0-formulas, 8; fundamental theorem of unique factorization of positive integers, 7; *Fürstenberg, H.*, 8, 8, 8; *Fürstenberg's* ideas, 8; *Fürstenberg's* proof, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8.

G*allot, Y.*, 2; *Gauss, C. F.*, 9; generalization of *Fermat* numbers, 5; geometrical interpretation of definite integral, 5; *Gilchrist, M.*, 5; *Goldbach, C.*, 4; *Goldbach's* idea, 5, 5; *Golomb, S. W.*, 5, 8; *Gong, S.*, 16, *Graham, R. L.*, 2, 4; *Granville, A.*, 4; *Gregory-Leibniz* formula for π, 7; Group Theory, 16.

H*aas, R.*, 6; *Hardy, G. H.*, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 9, 9; *Hardy, M.*, 3; *Harris, V. C.*, 5; *Hasse, H.*, 4; *Heath, T. L.*, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 9; *Hirschorn, M. D.*, 7; *Honsberger, R.*, 6.

Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, 8; index, 6; induction, 4, 5; inequality, 5; infinite, 1, 3, 4, 5, 8; infinite coprime sequences, 6; infinite product, 6; infinite sequence, 4, 5; infinite series, 6; infinite subsequence, 5; infinitely, 2, 3, 4, 9; infinitely many prime numbers, 2; infinitely many primes, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 9; infinitude of primes (IP), 1–9; infinity, 2; integer, 1, 3, 4, 5, 5, 7, 8, 8, 8; integer sequence, 6. integer value, 5; interval, 8; irrationalty of π^2, 6; irrationality of π, 7, 7; irrationality of π^4, 7; *Ishida, N.*, 5; *Ishikawa, T.*, 5.

J*anković, V.*, 5; *Joyal, B.*, 8; *Joyce, D.*, 2.

K*ilford, L. J. P.*, 7; *Klazar, M.*, 8; *Knopfmacher, K.*, 8; *Knuth, D. E.*, 2, 4; *Kummer, E. E.*, 4; k-tuple of nonnegative integers, 9.

L*agrange* theorem, 4, 4; *Legendre, A. M.*, 8; logarithmic complex function, 6; *Lord, N.*, 6.

Mathematical induction, 15; *Matić, I.*, 5; *Mercer, I. D.*, 8; *Mersenne* number, 4, 4; *Meštrović, R.*, 9, 9; *Métrod, G.*, 4; *Miller, S. J.*, 7; *Mixon, D. G.*, 7; *Möbius* transform, 8; *Mohanty, S. P.*, 5, 5; *Mullin, A. A.*, 4; multiplicativity, 15; multiplicative structure, 8; multiplied, 9; mutually prime, 4, 5, 6; mutually prime numbers, 5.

N*arkiewicz, W.*, 3; *Neville, C. W.*, 6, 8; nonconstant, 8; nonnegative integer, 9; number, 1–9.

Odd, 4; open set, 8; order of subgroup, 4; *Özkan, E. M.*, 3, 3, 3.

Pairwise relatively prime, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6; *Paris, J. B.*, 8, 8; *Patashnik, O.*, 2, 4; *Pellian* equation, 7; periodic continued fraction, 7; periodic functions on integers, 8; *Perott, J.*, 7; *Petersen, T. K.*, 6; *Petrović, N.*, 5; pigeonhole principle, 7, 9; pigeonhole principle for functions defined by Δ_0-formulas ($PHP\Delta_0$); *Pinasco, J. P.*, 8; *Pippenger, N.*, 7; *Pippenger's* product for e, 7; *Plouffle, S.*, 2; *Pollack, P.*, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6, 8, 8; polynomial method, 5, 5; *Pomerance, C.*, 6; *Porubsky, S.*, 8, 8, 8; positive integer, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 8, 8, 8, 9, 9; primality, 2; prime, 1–10; prime-counting function, 5; prime divisor, 4; prime factor, 4, 5, 5; prime number, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9; prime power, 14; primitive Pythagorean triples, 4; primorial number, 2, 3; principal ideal domains, 7; product, 2, 4, 6, 9; product of primes, 3; product of prime powers, 14; proper subgroup, 4; *Pythagorean* School, 1.

Range, 2; rational, 7; rational number, 9; real number, 7, 8; reciprocal, 6; recursive sequence, 5; recursively defined sequence, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6; relatively prime positive integers, 4; representation as a product of primes, 3, 9; representation of a rational number in a positive integer base, 9; *Ribenboim, P.*, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 8; *Riemann* zeta function ($\zeta(s)$), 6, 7; *Rubinstein, M.*, 7.

S*aidak, F.*, 2, 6; *Sándor, J.*, 6, 6; *Scimone, A.*, 4; *Schorn's, P.*, 5; *–set of positive integers, 5; sequence, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5; series, 5, 6, 7; series of reciprocals of primes, 5; set, 5, 5, 8, 8, 8; *Sierpiński, W.*, 8, 8; sieve of *Eratosthenes*, 8; simple proof, 9; *Sloane, N. J. A.*, 2, 5; *Sloane's* On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer, 1; *Sloane's* sequence, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7; solution, 4, 4, 5; sophisticated proof, 6; *Somos, M.*, 6; *Sondow, J.*, 6, 7, 7; *Srinivasan, S.*, 5; successive prime numbers, 6; sufficiently large, 8; subgroup, 4; sum, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7; sum of reciprocals of primes, 6; *Sylvester, J. J.*, 5; *Sylvester's* sequence, 5; *Stieltjes, T. J.*, 4.

Term, 3, 5, 5, 5, 6; Theory of finite *Abelian* groups, 16; Theory of algebraic numbers, 7; Theory of principal ideal domains, 7; Theory of unique factorization domains, 7; Theory of *Dedekind* domains, 7; Theory of *Pellian* equations, 7; Theory of periodic continued fractions, 7; *Thue, A.*, 7, 7; topological, 8, 8; topological ideas, 8; topological method, 14; topological proof, 8; topology, 8, 8, 8; transcendentality of e, 7; *Trigg, C. W.*, 4.

Unique factorization, 3, 6, 7; unique factorization domains, 7; unique factorization of positive integer, 7; unique factorization theorem, 3, 7.

V*ardi, I.*, 2, 5.

W*allis, J.*, 7; *Wallis's* formula for π, 7;

Wallis-type formulas, 7; *Ward, T.*, 4, 6;

Washington, L. C., 7; weak pigeonhole principle, 9, 9; weak pigeonhole principle for Δ_0-definable functions, 8–9; weak system of arithmetic ($I\Delta_0$), 9; *Wegener, D. P.*, 4; *Whang, J. P.*, 8; Wikipedia, 5; *Wildenberg, G.*, 8; *Wilkie, A. J.*, 8, 8, 9; *Woodgold, C.*, 3; *Woods, A. R.*, 8, 9; *Wright, E. M.*, 3, 3, 3, 3, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 9, 9.

Y*amada, T.*, 4, 4, 5, 6; *Yi, H.*, 7, 7; *Yukimoto, Y.*, 5.

Z*hang, S.*, 1, 9; *Ziegler, G. M.*, 3, 3, 4, 5, 8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MARITIME FACULTY KOTOR,
UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO
DOBROTA 36, 85330 KOTOR, MONTENEGRO
E-mail address: `romeo@ac.me`
homepage: `http://sites.google.com/site/romeomestrovic`